Object M Obse Pheno	Vibration Resonance Machine	 Countermeasures against Resonance of Torsional Vibration System of CVT Belt Testing Equipment Continuously variable transmission (CVT) belt testing equipment (outly photograph: Fig.1 (b)): testing equipment to evaluate the durability performa a CVT belt under a test condition of a constant speed (Ω₁) and constant load (target: Ω₁ = max 58.3rps, TM1 = max 180Nm). By measuring Ω₁, ASR (a methods) is not formed thread threa	Rotating Machinery ine: Fig.1 (a) ince by rotating
Object M Obse Phence	Resonance	Continuously variable transmission (CVT) belt testing equipment (outly photograph: Fig.1 (b)): testing equipment to evaluate the durability performa a CVT belt under a test condition of a constant speed (Ω_1) and constant load (target: Ω_1 = max 58.3rps, TM1 = max 180Nm). By measuring Ω_1 , ASR (a	Machinery ine: Fig.1 (a) nce by rotating
Object I Obse Pheno	Machine	Continuously variable transmission (CVT) belt testing equipment (outling photograph: Fig.1 (b)): testing equipment to evaluate the durability performa a CVT belt under a test condition of a constant speed (Ω_1) and constant load (target: $\Omega_1 = \max 58.3$ rps, TM1 = max 180Nm). By measuring Ω_1 , ASR (a model of the constant speed the constant speed (Ω_1) and constant load (target: $\Omega_1 = \max 58.3$ rps, TM1 = max 180Nm). By measuring Ω_1 , ASR (a	ine: Fig.1 (a) ince by rotating
Obse Phenc		TM1, ATR (automatic torque regulation) is performed through INV1/M1 (inverter 1/motor 1). And also TM1, ATR (automatic torque regulation) is performed through INV2/M2. In the ASR was performed with a feedback control (r1), while ATR was performed torque command (r2) without a feedback control.	torque (1141) utomatic speed by measuring his experiment l according to a
Observed Phenomena		In this test conditions, the rotational speed Ω_1 was kept set at a constant speed 58.3rps, while the maximum load condition was targeted by 200Nm, and the load was gradually increased up to this targeted value. This equipment had experienced excessive vibrations at TM1 = 145Nm of Fig.2, as already indicated in D&D 2017 <i>v_BASE</i> , No.14. As the countermeasure, a dynamic damper (DM1) was attached to the input shaft as shown with the result given in Fig.3. This solution allowed that the operation was possible up to TM1 = 180Nm. On the other hand, however, it caused the similar excessive vibration problem on the output shaft (TM2). The same solution was attempted for the output shaft.	
Cause Est	timation	As indicated in Fig.4, a frequency analysis revealed that the problem occurred it was also clarified that this frequency slightly decreased with an increasing in Fig.5. Thus, it has been identified that the frequency of power spectru decreased, and as shown with doted lines in the above figure, when the nature the output shaft became three times the input shaft number of revolutions, condition $\omega_2 = 3\Omega_1$ (= 3 × 58.3rps = 174.9Hz), oscillations hardly occurred.	d at 177Hz, and load, as shown um during tes al frequency o that is, under a
Countermeasures and Results		In order to avoid the frequency condition $\omega_2 = 175$ Hz $= 3\Omega_1$, a dynamic damper 2 (DM2, Fig.6) that was tuned to a frequency equal to the major component $\omega_2 = 175$ Hz was fabricated and assembled into a position near the output shaft CVT belt (bottom of Fig.7). The shaft natura frequency after assembly was dispersed into $\{145 \sim 225\}$ as successfully shown in Fig.8 shows the operation result. As a component $\omega_1 = 175$ Hz disappeared, operation was made possible up to the targeted torque of TM1 = 210Nm.	
Les	ison	 Regarding this equipment, the following two feedbacks reflections can be point (1) Initially, we thought that excessive vibrations occur when the difference single shaft system natural frequency of the input shaft and the output so 198 - 175Hz) matches the number of revolutions of the output shaft Ω intended to break up this relationship by modifying ω₁ - ω₂ of both shafts, the equipment restrictions, ω₁ and ω₂ could not be changed adequately. A condition was avoided by the addition of DM1. The shaft system was orig to allow the easy occurrence of this condition, "ω₁ - ω₂", which show reflected. (2) As mentioned in this Report, the problem this time was that resonance was when the natural frequency of the output shaft ω₂ became nearly three shaft number of revolutions Ω₁ (ω₂ = 3 × Ω₁), thus DM2 was added. At the of addition of DM1, the necessity of DM2 should also have be automatically to fix it on the output shaft. 	inted out: ce between the shaft ($\omega_1 - \omega_2 =$ 22. Thus, it was but because of As a result, this inally designed build have been s liable to occu times the inpu the initial stage
Refer	ences	 KOBAYASHI Daisuke, MABUCHI Yutaka, KATO Yoshiaki: "Torque transmission metallic CVT belt", Society of Automobile Engineers of Japan; lecture 975, (1997.10) MATSUSHITA Osami et al., "Vibration of rotating machinery (Basis of practical vic Corona Publishing (2009.10) MIYAKAWA Keisuke, KAMIYA Hideaki, MATSUSHITA Osami, "Torsional vibra CVT belt testing equipment using a dynamic vibration absorber", Transaction of the Mechanical Engineers, Dynamic & Design Conference 2017 (2017) No.109 	on mechanism o) ibration analysis) ation measures o g Japan Society o
Keyv	vords	Torsional inherent vibration, self-excited vibration, dynamic vibration absor- excitation, CVT belt testing equipment	ber, parametri

